

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Election/Restriction

The examiner withdrew claims 12-16 as being directed to a non-elected invention. The applicant canceled claims 12-16 and reserves the right to pursue these claims in a divisional application. Claim 26 remained withdrawn and is now canceled.

35 USC 102

The examiner also rejected claims 21-25 as being inherently anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,585,539 (Edson). The applicant respectfully disagrees. Nevertheless, to expedite allowance of remaining claims, claims 21-25 were canceled.

35 USC 103

The examiner further rejected claims 21-25 as being obvious over U.S. Pat. No. 4,585,539 (Frischauf et al.) in view of Edson. The applicant respectfully disagrees. As claims 21-25 were canceled, the rejection is moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

The *Examiner allowed claims 1, 4-11, and 17-20*. The applicant agrees and *claims 1, 4-11, and 17-20 remain unchanged*.

The applicant believes that all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance. Therefore, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Fish & Associates

By 

Martin Fessenmaier, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,697

Tel.: (949) 253-0944